APPENDIX J SOIL EROSION CALCULATIONS

Assumptions and Background for Calculations

While long-term wind and water caused soil erosion rates are predicted to be low in areas with established permanent cover and hardened surfaces (graveled pads, roads, etc.), potentially moderate erosion losses may occur on unimproved roads, other bare areas, and during short-term construction practices. If not protected, the majority of soils found on RBTI have the potential for water and wind erosion. However, best management practices would be followed and the erosion potential would be minimal. The following describes how soil erosion is calculated and Table J-1 provides the data that were used for these calculations.

Excessive water runoff causes three types of erosion: sheet, rill, and gully. The Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) can be used to estimate loss rates due to sheet and rill erosion. Gully erosion is more apparent when it occurs and is calculated by direct volume measurements. It is difficult to predict gully erosion rates: it is based on site specific factors and professional judgment.

Wind-caused erosion is typically most severe where soil textures are sandy. Moisture helps bind soil particles together, therefore, this type of erosion occurs predominantly during dry periods. Fine textured soils are susceptible to wind erosion if pulverized, as on road surfaces. Airborne dust, or fugitive dust, can be a result of this type of erosion.

USLE factors used to calculate sheet and rill erosion rates were obtained from various USDA-NRCS (SCS) soil surveys, NRCS technical guides, and NRCS soil scientists from New Mexico and Texas. Gully erosion estimates were based on site specific data provided by qualified field crew and technical staff after review of proposed construction concepts. Gully erosion may be a factor on localized sites and should be added to overall loss calculations. If it is known to occur, gully erosion can be estimated using an average gully size (e.g., v-shaped gully 30 ft. long by 2 ft. wide at ground surface by 1 ft. deep = 30 ft. 3 = 1.1 yd. 3, which is about 1 ton of soil).

Wind erosion estimates are based on NRCS methodologies (Wind Erosion Equation or WEQ), as well as an EPA standard method for calculating losses due to construction practices, in the form of fugitive dust (1.2 tons/ac/month x 50% reduction factor with Best Management Practice use = 0.6 tons/ac/month). Because some factors are site specific, WEQ calculations were made for each candidate MTR and MOA emitter and electronic scoring site. A 70% overall reduction factor that recognizes application of Best Management Practices is used in this analysis for WEQ. Care must be used when generalizing about wind erosion (see Assumptions).

Formulas, factors, and assumptions used in all cases are listed below.

Summary

- 1. Calculate area (acres) of ground to be disturbed (roads and right-of-ways, building pad areas, etc.), according to soil types listed for each emitter/scoring site.
- 2. Total predicted soil lost for given site = (acres x USLE factors) + (acres x Wind Erosion factors) + gully losses if any.

Assumptions

- 1. Total disturbed area for MTR/MOA sites = 0.6 acres.
- 2. Total disturbed area for ESS sites = 3.3 acres.
- 3. Bare soil construction period at each site is 10 days (0.33 months).
- 4. Erosion will be negligible after construction period is completed.
- 5. 250 lbs./ac. vegetation cover and/or coarse fragments, and no soil clods or crusts in construction areas (pulverized soil conditions).
- 6. Moderate to high erosion factors are used in USLE calculations (*P* factor equals *1*, *C* factor adjusted for low cover with low management =0.50, slope length *L* maximum and gradient *S* low, all other factors per NRCS FOTGs).
- 7. Wind erosion loss as fugitive dust will be reduced by 50% with application of Best Management Practices such as watering and soil stockpiling.
- 8. Other wind erosion losses to saltation, suspension, and surface creep, as calculated by WEQ will be reduced by 70% with application of Best Management Practices such as watering, covering with erosion fabric, and soil stockpiling.
- 9. Moderate to high erosion factors are used in Wind Erosion Equation (*K* factor set to 1, *L* factor at maximum length for graded site, *V* factor set low converted to small grain equivalents, all other factors per NRCS FOTGs).
- 10. Wind erosion calculated on 12-month basis and reduced to 10-day period. Because wind erosion periods vary on monthly basis, actual erosion rates will depend on weather conditions during construction periods.
- 11. Gully erosion will be minimal except on margins of steepest sites.

Factors and Calculations

(Note: Factors used in the USLE are not the same as in the Wind Erosion Equation)

BMP = *Best Management Practice*

USLE: $R \times K \times LS \times C \times P = A$ in tons/ac/year

Wind Erosion Equation: $f(I K C L V) = E x BMP reduction factor = E_{Tot} in tons/ac/year$.

Fugitive Dust: 1.2 tons/ac/month x BMP reduction factor = D in tons/ac/month

				Table J-	Table J-1. Soil Erosion Calculations for Candidate Emitter and Electronic Scoring Sites	On C	alcula	tions f	or Ca	ndidate	e Emi	tter an	nd Ek	ctron	ic Scor	ing Sit	s			i					
							Sheet as	Sheet and Rill Erosion	rosion.		•		Wind Erosion	rosion				Fugitive Dust		:		· 4	Gully Erosion	TOTAL."	.7
#	Site Number	Soil Survey Area	Site Type	Sail Map Unit	Stape (%)	<u>~</u>	<u>S</u>	0	ъ. В	A tons/ac/	- x	o .	ŋ	>	E E tons/ac/	Erot (w 70% BMP Reduc.)	To a	BMP % Reduc.	D tous/ac/ month	[(A + E _{Tob}) / 12 + D] x 0.33 months	Total Acres	+ los tso	(Cu. Ft. lost/ 27 ft ² / ton)	Tons Erosion per Site	ងខ្មុំ
æ	3	Scurry	MOA Emitter	r Mansker series, Olton series	1.3 (est.)	120 0.3	0.32 0.27	7 0.50	0.1	8.18	- 98	\$2	190	250	171	3.6	7	20%	9.6	4.0	y 0.6	+	۰	= 0.3	_
В	99	Borden	MOA Emitter	r Patricia fine sandy loam	Н	120 0.3	0.38 0.27	7 0.50	97	6.16	1 98	જ	100	250	16.2	4.9	1.2	20%	9.6		9.0 x	+	0	= 0.3	ارا
m	98	Borden	MOA Emitter	r Lofton clay loam	٠	120 0.3			0.1	2.50	- %	જ	2	32	16.2	6.5	⇥	%05	9.6	-	x 0.6	7	0	- 0.2	_
œ a	5 8	Borden	MOA Emitter	AOA Emitter Spade-Latom Complex	32 :	120 0.24	22 5	0.50	1.0	8 4.18	 % %	8	2 2	250	16.2	64	2 :	% S	9.0	4.0	x 0.6	-		= 63	_[_
	2 2	1	2 107	Mercan John M.				_	+	┿	8 8	3 8	3	3 9	1 :	;		3 3	2			+,	,		
.J/8	3	Brownedow	MTD Emister	Badland Wais Association	Ť			_	+	+	· -	3 5	1	ş	; 2	; ;	-	7.05	30		1	+	,	\perp	
B/C	8	Presidio	MTR Emitter		1	70 0.15		_	2	890	<u> -</u>	8	3	<u> </u>	5.0	120	: 2	20%	9.6		1	+		-	. [
B/C	89	Reeves	MTR Elect.						93	┼	-	+	300	250	1.3	9.0	+	20%	9.0	0.3	3.3	+	۰	6.9	_
BC	8	Reeves	MTR Elect.	Hodgins sifty clay loam	0-1	80 0.32	32 0.18	8 0.50	2	2.30	98	120	306	952	62.6	18.8	2	%0%	9,0	8.0	x 3.3	+	-	2.6	
BYC	=	Brewster	MTR Emitter	Reagan-Hodgins-Sanderson	1-15 (est.)	80 0.37	97 0.29	0.50	2	429	-	120	2	952	4.	1.9	2	20%	9.6	6.3	y 0.6	+	-	1.2	
BC	82	Pecos	MTR Emitter	-	17	90 0.32	82 0.13	3 0.50	91	1.87	-	120	8	250	2	170	2	20%	9,0	6.3	9.6 4.0	+	•	0.2	
B/C	16	Pecus	MTR Emitter	_	20-45	90 0.15	0.43	9 0.50	9	1.90	<u>-</u>	202	8	250	2	12	2	%0%	9,0	0.3	9,0	+		- 0.2	Ι.
B/C	88	Pecos	MTR Emitter	steep Ector Association, hilly	t	_	_	0.50	+	1.58	-	120	8	250	4.0	3	+	20%	9.6	0.2	1 0.6	+	0	0.1	
C	7.8	Upton	MOA Emitter			-	_		┿	2.89	-	+	2	250	5	3	+	%95	9.0	0.3	9.0	+	-	0.2	
٥	70	Schloschar	MOA Fruitter		Ť		_		4	+	+	+	=	240	=	1	┿	¥0%	90	1	90	+	\top	L	
υ	28	Upton	MOA Emitter					_	_	1.7	1-	8 8	8	82	3	10	+	20%	9.0			+	т	Ш	
ပ	28	Regan	MOA Emitter		0.3	120 0.37	17 0.13	9 0.50	91	2.89 86	1 98	9/	100	250	20.3	1.9	7	%05	9.6	4.0	9.0	+	-	- 0.3	Ι. Τ
S	æ	Regan	MOA Emitter	Conger-Reagan Association	0-3	120 0.37	7 0.13	8 0.50	3	2.89	1 98	6	ŝ	250	20.3	13	2	20%	9.6	0.4	9.0	+	-	63	1
υ	26	Irion	MOA Emitter	+	97	130 0.1	1 0.27	0.50	0.1	1.76	-	3	90	250	16.2	3	12	20%	9.6	0.4	y 0.6	+		0.2	
S	19		En Route Elect.	L Verson Clav	2	170 0.32	629	9	=	7.89	-	×	ğ	2	7	2	2	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	90	, e	33	+	•	1.	T
	4		Scor. Site					\rightarrow	_	\dashv	\rightarrow			\dagger	+		+	+				+			T
C/B/D	29	Taylor	En Route Elect. Scor. Site	fillman clay loam	5.	170 0.32	6.29	0.50	9	7.89 48	-	×	300	250	77	4.	17	%0%	9.0	6.4	3.3	+	•	7	
۵	2	Guadalupe	MTR Emitter	Clovis-Pastura Association	0-3	120 0.22	2 0.13	0.50	1.0	1.74 86	1	98	100	250	24.8	7.4	1.2	%05	9.6	0.5	9.0	+	0	= 0.3	
Ω	9	Guadalupe	MTR Emitter	Tucumcari-Redona Association	0-5	120 0.37	0.29	95.0	2	6.44 86	5 1	8	100	250	24.8	4.7	77	%0%	9.6	0.6	9.6	+	•	- 0.3	
Q	7	Guadalupe	MTR Emitter	La Lande-Chispa Complex		_			1.0	Н	-	08	901	250	11.1	3.3	1.2	20%	9.6	6.4	9.6		•	.03	П
۵	2	Harding	MOA Emitter	Demas loam	_		_	$\overline{}$	-+	+		100	+	-+	16.1	8, 5	+	%05	9.6	ŀ	x 0.6	+		6	
9 6	G 2	Colfax	MOA Emitter	MOA Emitter Doxice line sandy loam		120 0.28	7 0 8	0.50	9 9	2.18 80	- - - -	R 8	8 8	250	2.6	2.0	1 2	* %	9.0	0.3 x	9.0	+ +	-	2 2	Τ
۵	17	Uniton	MOA Emitter		0.5				₩	-	-	120	-	⊢	£4.3	13.3	+	%05	9.0	0.7	9.0	+	0	6.4	
Ω	20	Union	MOA Emitter						\rightarrow	-+	-	_	\rightarrow	-+	15.6	4.7	\rightarrow	20%	9.6	6.4	9.0	+	-	0.7	Т
9 9	2 2	Guadalune	MUA Emitter	Clovis-Pastura Association	2 2	120 6.28	8 0.13	8	2 2	2.18	- -	8 8		1 9 <u>2</u>	24.8	5 4.7	2 2	20%	0.6	6.5	9.6	+ +		# F	Т
Q	78	Harding	MTR Elect.		6-3	120 0.37	7.0.27	0.50	91	5.99 86	-	901	300	250	49.6	641	1.2	%0%	9.0	. 8.9	33	+	-	2.5	
٩	æ	Union	MTR Elect.	Spurlock-Plack Complex	5	120 0.32	2 0.29	0.50	1.0	5.57 86	-	8	300	520	£4 £3	13.3	2	%0%	9,0	0.7 x	3.3	+	-	2,4	T
-	25	Tucumcari Area	MTR Elect	Amarillo fine sandy loam,	0.3	120 0.24	629	95.0	91	4.18 134	<u> </u>	2	98	97	17.2	25.	2	%05	9,0	2	1 2	-	-	1 2	T
4	,	(N. Quay Co.)	Scor. Site	Amarillo					_	_		1	-	\dashv		,	\dashv						,		
Q	35	Harding	MOA Emitter		П	120 0.43			Н	3.35 48	-	100	100	250	\vdash	3.4	Н	%05	9'0	0.4 x		+	0	. 0.2	
۵	36	Harding	MOA Emitter			20 0.37			-	+	- -	_	\rightarrow		-	143	-	%05	9.6		ı	+ /		20 3	Т
۵ ۵	38	Guadalupe	MTR Emitter	Pastura-Clovis Associaton Redona-Hilken Loams	8. 6.	120 0.28	8 629	0.50	9 9	6.60 \$6		2 2	8 8	250	27 = 27	2 2	2 2	20%	9 90	0.5 x	9.6	+		2 2	Т
Q	8		MTR Emitter	San Jon-Latom Rock Outcrop		120 0.37		0.50		+	1-	+		+	24.8	+	+	20%	9,0	Ì		+		2	Г
q	4	Mora	MTR Emitter		345	120 0.15	5 0.29	0.50	1.0	2.61 48	<u> -</u>	8	001	250	8.0	7,	1.2	%05	9.6	0.3 x	9,6	+	-	= 0.2	T
D	4		MTR Emitter	Outcrop Complex Partri loam				0.50	-	+	-	+	+	250	9.8	7	+	20%	9.0	0.4 x	970	+	- -		Т
· Little	evidence t	Little evidence that gully erosion may Short-form emelon retee Actual rates		occur on site was observed.	of police action	- Contraction	90.0	- le n	Januar .	100	Par.	l st	1	- design	Sites	are relat	fuely te	y but lan	out! he nave	of with mek	or senhalf				
CIPPE,	Clarift or ever	Officials, more or	des mm nebeer	I Off Wedness Hactors warmy conver-	CLOSS Derson.				and vo	for an an	Cape	List Car hors	V. II. St.	Come care		- D 10					M depresent				٦